Penkin reports that five meetings were held with no discussion of actual budget numbers. Numbers were first submitted on February 4th so he has not had time to study them.

Some budget comments relayed by Schrock from Mike Kersting, Civic Life’s financial person: Numbers are not exactly “apples to apples” because the “revised budget” for past budgets includes money from the Spring and Fall BMP adjustments and that is being compared to the submitted proposed budget for the coming fiscal year. PUAH and EPAP, and Homeless Services are no longer in the CNIC budget because they have gone elsewhere or ended. Adapt to Impact should be listed under the CNIC budget line, mistakenly listed separately.

[Doretta – can you pleased spell out the acronyms above as most people don’t know what they represent]

Penkin comments that you need to be a forensic accountant to understand this stuff. ~~They use a lot of acronyms that they don’t explain.~~ There are many acronyms that are difficult to understand. Penkin further noted that ~~Hard to~~ he is trying to understand exactly what Civic Life is trying to do. A theme is “build civic engagement infrastructure with equitable impacts.” ~~Don’t currently have metrics~~ Metrics for equitable impacts currently don’t exist, so it doesn’t ~~seem~~ appear that current decisions can be based on that. It seems like staff has an end game they are aiming at ~~and~~  which they are driving forward. ~~that through.~~ He sees ~~a lot of~~ some people on the committee who appear to be glazing over and just going ~~along~~ with the flow.